

# Improved Navigated Spine Surgery Utilizing Augmented Reality Visualization

Zein Salah<sup>1,2</sup>, Bernhard Preim<sup>1</sup>, Erck Eloff<sup>3</sup>, Jörg Franke<sup>4</sup>, Georg Rose<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Simulation and Graphics, University of Magdeburg

<sup>2</sup>Department of Telematics and Biomedical Engineering, University of Magdeburg

<sup>3</sup>Department of Neuroradiology, University Hospital of Magdeburg

<sup>4</sup>Department of Orthopedic Surgery, University Hospital of Magdeburg

zein.salah@ovgu.de

**Abstract.** Image-guided surgical systems are increasingly becoming established tools for visual aid in several interventional procedures. In this paper, we introduce a prototypic add-on system for enhancing the intraoperative visualization within a navigated spine surgery utilizing an extended reality approach. In essence, operation-specific important anatomical structures are segmented from preoperative patient data and superimposed on the video stream of the operation field. In addition, slices of the anatomy data, as well as shape and depth information of targeted structures, like spinal nerves or herniated discs, can be blended, which allows for a better protection of risk anatomy and accurate identification of the structures under consideration, and thus raises the safety and accuracy factors of the intervention.

## 1 Introduction

One of the challenging tasks in a surgeon's life is to transfer the information displayed in 2D diagnostic images to the 3D situation in the real world of the patient's anatomy. This challenge is mastered in a continuous learning process, still with significant obstacles. In this regard, medical navigation systems help physicians to establish correspondences between locations in an acquired patient dataset and the patient's physical body during navigated surgeries. This is highly advantageous for surgeries in regions with high density of critical and vital structures; like the brain, skull base, and spine. However, this requires the surgeon to switch between the operation field, i.e. microscope or endoscope view, and wall-mounted or computer displays. Introducing augmented reality facilitates the transfer of the diagnostic imaging to the individual patient anatomy in a straightforward fashion. In this context, some research works provided enhanced endoscopic views that are paired with synthesized virtual renderings generated from the same view, e.g. [1]. Other systems tried to modify the design of operating binoculars [2] and microscopes [3] to allow for data augmentation. Augmented reality has also been introduced as a training tool for surgical procedures [4, 5].


In this paper, we present a prototype for improving intraoperative visualization by augmenting the video stream of the operation field with relevant patient data from different diagnostic and intraoperative imaging modalities. This will facilitate the identification of vital structures like blood vessels and nerves or landmarks like bony structures. This would potentially make surgical procedures safer and easier. In addition, the presented approach may serve as a teaching tool for surgical procedures, since critical anatomical relations can be identified and discussed before the actual procedure starts.

## 2 Material and Methods

In this section, we adapt and extend our intraoperative visualization method [6] for the use in navigated spine surgery. We first describe the new prototype setup and then present the visualization approach.

### 2.1 Prototype Setup: Calibration, Tracking, and Registration

Figure 1 (right) depicts our prototype, in which we use a phantom of the upper body with an integrated model of the lower part of the spine, including the lumbar vertebrae and the sacrum. We also adapt a tablet PC with a high resolution built-in camera to simulate the surgical optical device (e.g. microscope or endoscope). In a first step, we compute the camera intrinsic parameters. To this end, we capture several images of a special calibration pattern from different locations and orientations and then use MATLAB's Camera Calibration Toolbox to compute the focal length, principal point, and skew and distortion coefficients of the camera.



**Fig. 1.** Prototype of the intraoperative extended reality visualization system.

For tracking, we implement a marker-based optical tracking server, which continuously captures a video stream of the trackable region with a high resolution camera (Logitech QuickCam Pro 9000; 1280x720 pixel at 30 fps). The tracking camera has to be calibrated once, the same way as the tablet PC camera. At each frame, the system searches for predefined markers and, for each detected marker, computes its pose in the camera coordinate system. Pose information of all detected markers are transmitted to the tablet PC using the VRPN (Virtual Reality Peripheral Network) protocol over a WLAN connection. Technically, this design allows for connecting to several commercial tracking systems.

Prior to the operation, a one-time, fully-automatic hand-eye calibration step is performed. In essence, the tablet PC camera is calibrated with respect to the tracker using a common reference marker that is visible (only at the calibration step) to both cameras. A second tracked marker is fixed to the tablet PC. Following the chain of transformations, the transformation from the tablet PC marker to its video camera is calculated as  $T_{mc} = T_{cr} \cdot T_{kr}^{-1} \cdot T_{km}$ , where the transformations are video-camera to reference, tracker to reference, and tracker to tablet PC marker, respectively. The transformation  $T_{mc}$  remains valid as long as the marker does not move with respect to the video camera. At operation time, the patient is fixed with respect to the reference marker, which can then be removed from the view field of the camera. Thereafter, the transformation  $T_{cr}$  can be computed using  $T_{cr} = T_{mc} \cdot T_{km}^{-1} \cdot T_{kr}$ . Since  $T_{mc}$  and  $T_{kr}$  are fixed from the previous step,  $T_{cr}$  is only dependent on the tracking data of the marker.

To register the patient/phantom with the scanned dataset (and hence with the anatomical models reconstructed from it), the reference marker is attached to a rectangular plastic board that is scanned with the patient. The corners of the marker are interactively selected from the scanned dataset, and their absolute positions are calculated, considering image spacing, and defined as registration points. 3D positions of the corresponding points in the patient coordinate system are precisely defined using the tracking system. The two sets of points are finally registered adapting a paired-point rigid registration scheme, applying a least square fitting approach.

## 2.2 Extended Reality Visualization Module

The rendering module, running on the tablet PC, continuously captures a video stream and renders it as a background. At each frame, relevant virtual objects are rendered/overlaid using a two-pass rendering algorithm that highlights objects silhouettes for better shape perception. Several objects can be overlaid according to the current operation conditions. These include 3D reconstructions of segmented structures from the anatomy dataset. Additionally, tomographical slices can be superimposed. For this purpose, we adapt an optimized slicing algorithm [7] to compute tomographical slices at the desired position and orientation. The generated slice image is then blended in the real scene with the correct pose. Here, the dimension and spacing of the 3D dataset and the generated cross section are considered to correctly adjust the physical proportion

with the patient and environment. For certain structures, e.g. tumors, an enhanced visualization of shape and depth information can also be provided. This is achieved by extracting the planar contours of the tumor at successive depths perpendicularly to the viewing direction. Depth information is conveyed via depth cueing by defining the transparency of a contour as a linear function of its depth.

In minimally-invasive endoscopic or microscope-based spine surgery, augmentation should be performed on the video stream of the endoscope/microscope camera. However, this requires tracking these devices and a more complicated calibration of their cameras.

### 3 Results

The software modules have been implemented with C++, OpenGL, VTK, and Qt. For our current implementation, we relied on marker-based tracking provided by the ARToolkit, which allows for multiple-marker tracking in real time. However, due to the inaccurate calibration, we calibrate camera parameters using MATLAB, as stated in Section 2.1. As a result, the new calibration was significantly more accurate regarding marker detection and pose estimation.


For our simulated OP scenario, a phantom model of the upper body is scanned with the mounted reference marker. After extrinsic calibration of the video camera, the phantom model is registered to the scanned data, using the corners of the reference marker as the set of correspondence point pairs. From a co-registered patient dataset, three vertebrae, inter-vertebral discs, and the spinal canal are segmented and 3D models are reconstructed. Finally, the visualization module starts video stream augmentation.

The upper-left part of Figure 1 depicts a snapshot of the GUI of the visualization module in a simulated spine surgery scenario. Figure 2 (left) shows a left posterior oblique (LPO) view, with augmented models of the lumbar vertebrae L2-L4 (cyan), inter-vertebral discs (green), and spinal canal (pink). Objects silhouettes are slightly highlighted for enhanced shape perception. In Figure 2 (right), a side view with an additional transparent overlay of a tomographical slice from the patient data is shown. The slicing algorithm allows for on-the-fly computation and rendering of slices at a near real-time rate.

### 4 Discussion

A prototypic tablet PC based add-on for enhancing intra-operative visualization has been introduced, with the focus on spine surgeries. The hardware setup and functional components of the prototype have been depicted. We aim at raising the safety and accuracy factors of the intervention by superimposing relevant operation-specific anatomical structures on the video stream of the operation field, which obviously allows for the protection of risk structures like spinal nerves, blood vessels, and the spinal canal. In addition, targeted structures like tumors or herniated discs are better localized and information about the

**Fig. 2.** Left: LPO view with augmented vertebra (cyan), discs (green), and spinal canal (pink). Right: Side view with additionally blended cross section from the MRI dataset.



shape and extend of such structures can be conveyed at different depth levels. From surgical point of view, we have received positive feedback regarding the relevance and applicability of the presented approach. Our future work will focus on transferring the prototype for application in real operation suits and evaluating the applicability of the concept to other surgery scenarios.

**Acknowledgement.** This work is funded by the German Ministry of Education and Science (BMBF) within the ViERforES project (No. 01IM08003C).

## References

1. Lapeer R, Chen M, Gonzalez G, et al. Image-enhanced surgical navigation for endoscopic sinus surgery: evaluating calibration, registration and tracking. *Int J Med Robot.* 2008;4(1):32–452.
2. Birkfellner W, Figl M, Huber K, et al. A head-mounted operating binocular for augmented reality visualization in medicine-design and initial evaluation. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging.* 2002;21(8):991–7.
3. Edwards P, King A, Maurer C, et al. Design and evaluation of a system for microscope-assisted guided interventions. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging.* 2000;19(11):1082–93.
4. Ockert B, Bichlmeier C, Heining S, et al. Development of an augmented reality (AR) training environment for orthopedic surgery procedures. In: *Proc CAOS*; 2009.
5. Navab N, Feuerstein M, Bichlmeier C. Laparoscopic virtual mirror: new interaction paradigm for monitor based augmented reality. In: *Virtual Real*; 2007. p. 43–50.
6. Salah Z, Preim B, Samii A, et al. Enhanced interoperative visualization for brain surgery: a prototypic simulation scenario. In: *Proc CURAC*; 2010. p. 125–30.
7. Salah Z, Preim B, Rose G. An approach for enhanced slice visualization utilizing augmented reality: algorithms and applications. In: *Proc PICCIT*; 2010. p. 1–6.