Graph Mining for Detection of a Large Class of Financial Crimes

Czeslaw Jedrzejek¹, Maciej Falkowski¹, Jaroslaw Bak¹

Poznan University of Technology, Institute of Control and Information Engineering, Curie Sq. 5, 60-965 Poznan, Poland {Czeslaw.Jedrzejek, Maciej.Falkowski, Jaroslaw.Bak}@put.poznan.pl

Abstract. Financial crime perpetrators use many different and sophisticated types of schemes, techniques and transactions to accomplish their goals. However, for a large class of financial crimes, such as doing harm to a company, they cannot escape a powerful principle: illegal proceeds have to return to or be under control of managers to achieve a personal gain. This circular flow of transaction attributes is characteristic of another type of financial crimes: such as a VAT carousel or a Polish fuel mafia scheme. In this work we propose a minimal model of descriptions of a doing harm to a company crime, combined with money laundering. Such a model uses sufficient ontology to build evidence and assign legal qualifications to criminal activities and nothing more. The scheme can be described by using 8 layers of concepts and relations that follow in logical order of uncovering a crime. For example, on the first level that describes money transfers there are only 6 parameters necessary assuming that certain operations can be grouped. Using conceptual graphs with subsumption and negation operations, one can reason on people involvement in a crime and choose between strategies of building a case. The model captures over 90% of relevant information for a typical use case of issuing fictitious invoices, the so called Hydra case and is able effectively reason over relevant facts, which means that legal qualification for this case is basically correct. To what extent the model can be generalized to more complex schemes will be a subject of a further study.

1 Introduction

1.1 Financial Crimes

Money laundering (ML) as a criminal activity accompanying most serious crimes is seriously undermining economic and social order. There are many schemes of complex nature that are recognized by FATF [5] and new schemes appear exploiting ever increasing richness of money forms and economic activity. Altogether currently up to possibly 50 basic schemes could be identified. Trade-based money laundering is defined as "the process of disguising the proceeds of crime and moving value through the use of trade transactions in an attempt to legitimize their illicit origins". For money laundering [11] FATF developed over the years indicators [5-7], that are frequently observed signs of suspicious activity. Representation of facts is important for a financial crime description in terms of uncovering mechanisms (modus operandi) and data collection for building evidence. In this work we propose focusing analysis of a large class of financial crimes on looking for chain graphs representing flows of money, invoices and goods/services. We demonstrate on a few examples that such a representation largely facilitates asking relevant questions on connections between financial entities and people associated with them, which is conducive to evidence building and a crime qualification. To study these relations we propose a data model (called a minimal model), based on conceptual graphs [13]. This means that an ontology is crafted to a task rather that attempting to describe whole conceivable space of concepts and relations (top ontologies). The methodology consist of several steps:

- 1. Design of a hierarchical data representation with minimal ontology, constructed in sequence of uncovering of a crime scheme. In the first stage, goods/services transfer data is analyzed with relation to 3 basic flows: money, invoices, and documents (i.e. confirming that the service or goods have been delivered - particularly important for a fuel mafia type of crimes). In addition, responsible or relevant people within companies are associated with particular illegal activities..
- 2. Construction of a multigraph of the data flow and looking for cycles or potential cycles when a chain of transactions strongly indicates a "closure path".
- 3. Provision of a framework in which the graph building process and queries are executed
- 4. Relating answers to queries with crime qualifications.

This approach is preliminary and limited, but provides an essential model for evidence building of a very important class of financial crimes: among them money laundering and acting to a harm to a company. Our approach is an extension of an approach used by Badia and Kantardzic [1] to analysis of the Enron [4] dataset.

1.2 Data and Red Flags Used in Financial Crime Investigations

The analysis begins with information from banks on suspicious operations symptoms of financial crimes (red flags) - that are transferred to countries' Financial Intelligence Units (FIUs). The common red flags detected by financial institutions and designated non-financial businesses and professions using data mining of transaction records are:

- Unconventionally large currency transactions, particularly in exchange for negotiable instruments or for the direct purchase of fund transfer services;
- Apparent structuring of transactions to avoid identification requirements or regulatory record-keeping and reporting thresholds;

 Introduction of a client by an overseas associate or financial institution based in a country or jurisdiction known for drug trafficking and production, other financial crimes and "bank secrecy".

FIUs employ data mining techniques of suspicious activity patterns (over 750 in case of the Polish FIU - GIIF). These employ rule-based systems, customer profiling, and statistical techniques [9]. Other FATF indicators require financial audits, analysis of tax information, testimonies and more difficult to obtain or correlate information, such as:

- Transactions that appear inconsistent with a client's known legitimate (business or personal) activities or means; unusual deviations from normal account and transaction patterns;
- Any situation where personal identity is difficult to determine;
- Unauthorized or improperly recorded transactions; inadequate audit trails;
- Transactions passed through intermediaries for no apparent business reason.



2 General Crime Model for Selected Crimes

Fig. 1. A basic schema of transaction flows for a real case of acting to harm a company

We define concepts only to the level necessary to ask questions and reason on the main mechanisms of financial crimes. We assume from the start that we capture 80-95% of relevant knowledge - but this type of knowledge will be universal. In some cases deciding whether a work has been done or not is left to a human. Although less ambitious, such a procedure opens a provision of using an opinion of an expert (i.e. expert accountant). The class of crimes we consider is presented in Fig. 1 (modeled after a real case, the so called Hydra case with real data). The fraudulent scheme is based on invoicing work not done.

The CEO of company A subcontracts construction work which the company A does itself. The work is then consecutively subcontracted though a chain of phony companies. Each of them is getting a commission for money laundering and commits that contracted work has been done with fictitious statements. At the end of a chain an owner of a single person company D attempts to withdraw cash, and there is a suspicion that this cash reaches "under the table" the management of company A (possibly through a trusted intermediary, here associated with company C). People associated with company D may not know full details of a case. In this scenario money leaves companies and goes to physical persons.

The knowledge base (KB) is modeled at several (here 8) levels to describe a mechanism of crime, that are presented in Section 3.

The concepts come in a hierarchy according to a prevailing mode of gathering data.

3 Hierarchies of Submodels

The facts for a case we analyze (the Hydra case) can be presented in 7 pages of a natural language text. This case is one of the easiest for which we have data (only 4 companies and 10 people involved) but contains all elements of the financial crime mechanisms. The full analysis is beyond the scope of the paper, however, in the minimal model the first 3 layers are described by the following top level concepts:

General concepts related to layers 1-3:

- General Flow (From Entity, To Entity, Date, Flow),
- Flow (Money Transfer, Invoice, Work/Service).

Specifically layer 1 concepts are modeled as follows:

- MoneyTransfer (From Entity, To Entity, Method of Transfer, Date, Value, Title of transfer, i.e. for Work, registered/unregistered)
- MethodOfTransfer (Electronic transfer, Cash)
- ElectronicTransfer(Account1, Account2)
- Account (Time of setting, History)

The unregistered transfer could be cash or a transfer to tax heavens banks. We model the remaining layers in a similar way (to be presented in future publications). At each level we assign concerns, and type of analysis. Answers to questions infer assignment of relevant penalty as sanctioned by articles of the Polish Penal Code ("Kodeks Karny" in Polish).

Table 1: Hierarchy of models representing data involved in a criminal activity (sanctions are presented in bold print) $\,$

Level of	Concern type	Type of Analysis/	What can be de-	Potential legal quali-
Analysis		Tools/ Visualization	duced?	fications
1	Levels Levels 1-3 -	Money transfer	Abnormal transac-	Suspicion of a crime
	companies, institu-	between companies.	tion pattern for a	sanctioned by art .
	tions, single person	Tool for company	chain of companies.	299 of the Polish
	companies.	transaction rela-		penal code (abbre-
	Levels 1-2 ML - type	tions (selecting all		viation KK), that is
	or a scheme	for some of Component		money laundering
		A)		crime (they always
		n).		come together)
2		Invoice flow between	Abnormal company	Reinforcement of sus-
2		companies	operation:	picion of art. 299
		Tax statements.		crimes and unknown
		Tool for invoice	a) establishing	base crime.
		analysis and tax	correspondence	
		statement analysis.	of invoices and	
			money transfers	
			b) tax statements	
			values much	
			smaller than	
			real turnover	
			c) single-	
			transaction	
			d) detection if	
			invoices are	
			for the same	
			goods/services	
			8	
3		Work/Services flow	Establishing whether	All companies in-
		Activities: Inspec-	work has been done:	volved in chain
		tion of work (in		transactions that is a
		case of Company A	a) find a chain	part of a scheme.
		inspection of site).	of companies	Initial legal qualifica-
		Question:	involved in	tion: fraud, art. 286
		X; Value of work as	operations	I kk [10] in con-
		invoiced	for the same	nection with money
		r: Market value of	goods/services.	taundering Later al-
		Fyont colculus tool	Conditions	complices art 206
		Litent calculus tool.	Continuinons.	1 and 2 and 3 kk
			b) investigate	and art. 284 2 kk
			whether goods	- the legal qualifica-
			were sold/work	tion changes to do-
			performed; if no	harm-to-a- company
			c) find the last	crime (at significant
			company in a	or large degree) and
			chain that did	acting for a personal
			not do a work. If	gain.
			yes, all compa-	
			nies in the chain	
			implicated.	
			d) alternate proce-	
			dure: find the	
			first company in	
			a chain that or-	
1			aerea work.	1

Analysis Tools/ Visualization duced? Incations (opt quint) Analysis Tools/ Visualization duced? Incations (opt quint) insign roles to peel Tool for associating Who are the persons Incations (opt quint) Incations (opt quint) insign roles to peel Tool for associating Who are the persons Incations (opt quint) Incations (opt quint) insign roles to peel Tool for associating Who are the persons Incations (opt quint) Incations (opt quint) insign roles to peel Tool for associating Who are the persons Incations (opt quint) Incations (opt quint) difference organizes meents stating that (opt quint) Incations (opt quint) Incations (opt quint) off or marking peel (opt quint) Maxing false state- Incations (opt quint) Incations (opt quint) id not order (opt quint) account) Incations (opt quint) Incations (opt quint) Incations (opt quint) 5 Mapping potential (opt quint) In the Hydra case, bid (opt quint) Incations (opt quint) Incations (opt quint) 6 Mapping potential (opt quint) In the Hydra case, bid (opt quint) Incations (opt quint) Incations (opt quint) 7 Information About Discovering information (opt quint) Incations (opt quint) Incations (Level of	Concern type	Type of Analysis/	What can be de-	Potential legal quali-
4 Asign roles to peo Tool for associating Who are the persons plus in potentially people with companies (in some cases investigate docuscheme organizers ments stating that were responsible for false documents? nies (in some cases investigate docuscheme organizers ments stating that can act and not be (he work was done. directly associated Roles: management, with transacting proxise, legal advisors. Administration: examples is accused to a stating that companies): Administration: examples is accused to a stating that companies is accused to a stating the document by who forged the document by who baysically did invoices, money transfers Did accused people Making false statement: Sanctioned by art. 12 (constituted financial crime. Correlated Did they work to contribution to a to art. 286 1 kk gether? (constituted financial crime. Correlated DCD of a crime group?) relate potentially (he company A as Can this be only a implicated people, a mastermind of a matter of negligence? and testimonies action of CEOs of companies to a bert. 286 1 kk to art. 286 1 kk to art. 286 1 kk to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 286 1 kk to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) 6 People not related to companies to a bert with row components. Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 286 1 kk to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 206 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 206 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 64 1 kk. 7 hordmain about biscovering inpected with intially company D to to my half of money to Company and wy initially company and wy initially company. Dy to and movie waiting the firte to the adving the first wy initially comp	Analysis	Concern type	Tools/ Visualization	duced?	fications
ple in potentially people with comparises Intat were responsible for false documents? nies (in some cases Investigate docuses scheme organizes ments stating that companies): aoxis average administration: esc. a) logical decision ecuting activities or- for ordering dered by superiors. Administration: esc. a) logical decision ecuting activities or- for ordering dered by superiors. Making false state- mor accept; nor authorized pay- ment the work find who forged the document b) who physically did invoices. Did accused people Making false state- ments: Sanctioned by art. 271 1 and 3 companies to a of crime related Did they work to kcontribution to a to art. 286 1 kk gener? (constituted financial crime. Cori implicated people, a master of negligence? and testimonies betwee. Did accused people Making false state- ments: Sanctioned by art. 271 1 and 3 companies to a of crime related Did they work to kk. 6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 286 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) 6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 290 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) 7 Information about Decenter view of company sentenced in the last A witing to re- 10 years, criming pearting execution connection; school of a scheme (after using art. 64 1 kk. 8	4	Assign roles to peo-	Tool for associating	Who are the persons	
implicated comparing ines. for false documents? nies (in some cases Investigate docu- scheme organizers ments stating that can act and not be the work was done. for false documents? directly associated Roles: management, with transacting proxise, legal advi- companies): Administration: ex- administration: ex- mont the work find who forged the document Administration: ex- ment the work find who forged the document b) who physically did invoices, money trans- fers In the Hydra case. Did accused people work in chain of command Making false state- ments: Sanctioned by art. 271 1 and 3 companies to a of crime related Did they work to contribution to a to companies to a of crime related Did they work to companies a and erime role (CBO of companies A and B from art. 286 1 kk to art. 286 1 kk to art. 286 1 kk to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk. 6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Other relations of people; Discovering in- people: whether voice of company sentenced in the last bioscovering in- people; Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk. 7 Information about Discovering in- people; Discovering in- people; Detailing legal qual- increase of sentenced using art. 64 1 kk. 8 Additional factors An invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company C trans- ferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts		ple in potentially	people with compa-	that were responsible	
nies (in some cases Investigate docu- scheme organizers ments stating that can act and not be the work was done. directly associated Roles: management, with transacting proxies, legal advi- sors. administration: ex- a) logical decide paysing activities or- ridd not order nor accept; nor authorized paysing money trans- fers c) who accepted work in chain of command 5 Mapping poten- tial roles coming supect's accused itoaly? companies to a of crime related from positions in mines actartibution to a at crit 286 l kk to art. 286 l kk to art. 296 l and to related to companies A and B from art. 296 l and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 12 kk) and testimonies scheme. of people. of people. Discovering in- companies but be- ing apart of crime. companies but be- of people. Discovering in- from art. 286 l kk to art. 296 l and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 12 kk). releation of a scheme (after cup). Detailing legal qualificone Qup and in thoice waiting for people.		implicated compa-	nies.	for false documents?	
scheme organizers ments stating that can act and not be the work was done. directly associated Roles: management, with transacting proxies, legal advi- sors. Administration: ex- a) logical decision ecuting activities or- for ordering dered by superiors. work; if autho- rized person did not order no accepted pay- ment the work find who forged the document Administration: ex- autorized pay- ment the work find who forged the document 5 Mapping poten- tial roles coming suspect's testi- tial roles coming suspect's testi- tial roles coming suspect's testi- tial roles coming suspect's (constituted financial crime. Cor- implicated ECD of a crime group)? relate potentially the company A as fors Making false state- ments: Sanctioned by attractioned by attractioned by attractioned by attractioned by attractioned by attractioned by attractioned by attraction of CEDs of documents. Sanctioned by attractioned by attractioned by attractioned by attractioned by attraction of CEDs of companies but be from art. 226 1 kk to art. 226 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) Sanctioned by attractioned by attractioned by attractioned by attractioned by attractioned by attractioned by attractioned by attractioned and people. 6 People not related to companies but be ing a part of crime. 0 people. Sanctioned by attractioned by attractioned by attractioned by attractioned by attractioned by attractioned by attractioned by attractioned by attractioned by attractioned by attractioned connection; school of a scheme (after busines etc. completing the first is why initially Company C trans- ferred only half of money to Company besting whether a withdrawal w		nies (in some cases	Investigate docu-		
can act and not be the work was done. directly associated Roles: management, with transacting proxies, legal advi- companies): sors. Administration: ex- a) logical decision ecuting activities or- for ordering dered by superiors. work; if autho- rized person did not order nor accept: nor authorized pay- ment the work find who forged the document b) who physically did invoices, money trans- fers c) who accepted work in chain of command 5 Mapping poten- tual roles coming suspect's testi- work in chain of command 5 Mapping poten- tual roles coming suspect's testi- work in chain of command 5 Mapping poten- tual roles coming suspect's testi- contribution to a to art ratime related Did they work to- companies to a of crime related Did they work to- companies to a of a crime related Did they work to- repople, art heir Change of qualifi- role as indicated by cation of CEOs of documents. Kk 6 People not related to companies A and B from art. 286 1 kk to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk. 6 People not related to connection; school of a scheme (after business etc. completing the first covclein; school of a scheme (after business etc. completing the first covcleing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one). 8 Additional factors An		scheme organizers	ments stating that		
directly associated Roles: management, vork; fautho- rized person did not order nor accept; nor authorized pay- ment the work find who forged the document Administration: es- expansion of the document b) who physically did invoices money trans- fers 5 Mapping poten- tial roles coming suspect's testi- work; in duain of command In the Hydra case, bit de document b) who accepted work in chain of command Did accused people ment the work find who forged the document b) who accepted work in chain of command Making false state- ments: Sanctioned by attractioned b		can act and not be	the work was done.		
with transacting proxies, legal advi- companies): sors. Administration: ex- al logical decision ecuting activities or- for ordering dired by superiors. work; if atho- rized person did not order nor accept; nor authorized pay- ment the work in dwho forged the document b) who physically did invoices, money trans- fers order c) who accepted work in chain of command b) who accepted work in chain of command 5 Mapping poten- tial roles coming suspect's testi- work in chain of companies to a of crime related Did they work to- kto- contribution to a to art. 286 1 kk gether? (constituted financial crime. Cori-implicated CEO of and testimonies scheme. of people, or their Change of qualifi- role as indicated by cation of CEOs of documents. Making false state- ments: Sanctioned by art. 211 1 and 3 kk (using art. 226 1 kk to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) 6 People nor related to companies to be- ing a part of crime. Other relations of people. Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk. 6 People not related to connection; school of a scheme (after people. Detailing legal qual- ification. Owner of company by art. 18 1 kk. 7 Information about Discovering in- people. in- company C trans- ferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one).		directly associated	Roles: management,		
companies): Administration: ex- a) logical decision feeuting activities or- for ordering dered by superiors. work; if autho- rized person did not order nor accept; nor authorized pay- ment the work find who forged b who physically did invoices, money trans- fers Naking false state- tial roles coming suspect's testi- worked contribution of command 5 Mapping poten- firs In the Hydra case, b who accepted work in chain of command Did accused people 5 Mapping poten- firs In the Hydra case, b who accepted work in chain of companies to a for crime related finacial crime. Cor- implicated people, a matermind of a crime group)? Did they work to- contribution to a to art. 286 1 kk gether? (constituted finacial crime. Cor- implicated people, a matermind of a matter of negligence? 6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) 6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 2 testilons of people; whether voice of company sentenced in the last A waiting to re- torpany condition about people; whether voice of company sentenced in the last A waiting to re- torpany condition about people in scheme (after business etc. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one). Art. 13. 1 - intent to commit crime. 8 Additional factors An invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company C trans- ferred only half of money to Company b testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 12. Repeated cr		with transacting	proxies, legal advi-		
Administration: ex- ordering detection centing activities or- for ordering detected by superiors. work; if autho- rized person did not order nor accept; nor authorized pay- ment the work find who forged the document Administration: ex- person b) who physically did invoices, money trans- fers Did accused people tial roles coming suspect's testi- work in chain of command Making false state- ments: Sanctioned by art. 271 1 and 3 companies to a of crime related financial crime. Cor- implicated people, a mastermind of a and testimonies scheme. of people, or their Change of Lausing art. 212 kk) Making false state- ments: Sanctioned by art. 271 1 and 3 k (using art. 271 1 and 3 companies to a of crime related financial crime. Cor- implicated people, a mastermind of a crime group)? for companies to a of crime related financial crime. of people, or their Change of qualifi- role as indicated by companies A and B from art. 286 1 kk to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk. 6 People not related to companies to evolve of company sentenced in the last A waiting to re- ing a part of crime. Detailing legal qual- ification Owner of company Sentenced in the last A is why initially Company C trans- ferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be biocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one). Art. 13. 1 - intent to commit crime.		companies):	sors.		
a) togical decision feedung activities or- for or dring dered by superiors. work; if autho- rized person did not order nor accept; nor authorized pay- ment the work find who forged the document image: construction of the second by who physically did invoices, money trans- fers c) who accepted work in chain of command image: construction of the second by who physically did invoices, money trans- fers Did accused people Making false state- ments: Sanctioned by art. 271 1 and 3 5 Mapping poten- from positions in monies accused tiously? ment: Sanctioned by art. 271 1 and 3 6 Mapping poten- financial crime. Cor- inplicated people, a matermind of a mater of negligence? kk. of documents. implicated by cond a mater of negligence? of documents. from art. 286 1 kk to art. 289 1 and art. 212 kk) 6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Other relations of people; whether voice of company sentenced in the last A waiting to re- ing pay of train- for adving to re- ing apart of crime. Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and increase of sentenced using art. 64 1 kk. 7 Informational factors An invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company C trans- ferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime.			Administration: ex-		
and order by speciols. work; if autho- rized person did not order nor accept; nor authorized pay- ment the work find who forged the document b) b) who physically did invoices, money trans- fers c) and		for ordering	dered by superiors		
work, if additional factors rized person did not order nor accept; nor authorized pay- ment the work find who forged the document b) Making false state- ments: Sanctioned by art. 271 1 and 3 b) who physically did invoices, money trans- fers Did accused people work in chain of command Making false state- ments: Sanctioned by art. 271 1 and 3 c) Mapping poten- tial roles coming suspect's testi- worked conscien- for positions in monies accused Did they work to- kk. Making false state- ments: Sanctioned by art. 271 1 and 3 companies to a of crime related Did they work to- kk. kk contribution to a to art. 286 1 kk to art. 286 1 kk to art. 286 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) matter of negligence? and testimonies scheme. of people, or their Change of qualifi- role as indicated by cation of CEOs of documents. Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk. 6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Other relations of people; whether voice of company sentenced in the last A waiting to re- 10 years, criminal people; whether voice of company sentenced in the last A waiting to re- 10 years, criminal people; for payment. That is why initially Company C trans- ferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one). Art. 13. 1 - intent to commit crime.		work: if autho	defed by superiors.		
aid not order nor accept; nor authorized pay- ment the work find who forged the document b) who horged the document b) who physically did invoices, money trans- fers c) in the Hydra case, Did accused people work in chain of command Making false state- tial roles coming suspect's testi- work of conscien- from positions in monies accused tiously? 5 Mapping poten- tial roles coming suspect's testi- work in chain of command In the Hydra case, Did accused people ments: Sanctioned by art. 271 1 and 3 kk. 5 Mapping poten- tial roles coming suspect's testi- contribution to a implicated people, a mastermind of a matter of negligence? matter of negligence? and testmomise scheme. of people, or their class indicated by documents. Can this be only a matter of negligence? Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 286 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) 6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Other relations of people; more volument woice of company sentenced in the last A waiting to re- lo years, criminal people; whether voice of company sentenced in the last A waiting to re- lo years, criminal people, and invoice waiting for a scheme (after using art. 64 1 kk. 8 Additional factors An invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one).		rized person			
authorized payment the work find who forged the document b) who physically did invoices, money trans- fers c) who accepted work in chain b) who physically did invoices, money trans- fers b) who physically did invoices, money trans- fers b) who accepted work in chain b) who accepted work in chain b) who accepted work in chain 5 Mapping poten- tial roles coming suspect's testi- work accused tiously? In the Hydra case, bit worked conscien- ments: Sanctioned by art. 271 1 and 3 kk. 5 Mapping poten- tial roles coming inplicated people, an astermind of a matter of negligence? Making false state- ments: Sanctioned by art. 271 1 and 3 kk. 6 people, or their change of public or their companies but be- from art. 286 1 kk to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk. 6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Other relations of people, Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk using art. 12 kk. 7 Information about Discovering in- people. Detailing legal qual- ification. Owner of company beating execution connection; school of a schem (after business etc. completing the first cycle). Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one). 8 Additional factors for payment. That is why initially Company C trans- ferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 13. 1 - intent to commit crime.		did not order			
authorized payment the work find who forged the document b) who physically did invoices, money transfers c) who accepted work in chain of command 5 Mapping potentially the product of command In the Hydra case, Did accused people ments: Sanctioned by art. 271 1 and 3 companies to a of crime related Did they work to contribution to a to art. 286 1 kk gether? (constituted financial crime. Corr their Change of qualificited people, a mastermind of a matter of negligence? and testimonies scheme. of people, or their Change of qualificite cation of CEOs of documents. Making false state. 6 People not related to companies to the company A and B from art. 286 1 kk to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) 6 People not related to companies but being a part of crime. Of people, whether yoice of company sentenced in the last A waiting to relower of crime and 0 ther relations of people; whether with a waiting to relower of company and the stime of a scheme (after business etc. completing the first cycle). Sanctioned by art. 12 kk. 8 Additional factors An invoice waiting for money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one).		nor accept; nor			
ment the work find who forged the document ment the work for second work in chain of command Making false state- work in chain of command 5 Mapping poten- tial roles coming from positions in monies accused financial crime. Cor- implicated people, and testimonies scheme. of people, or their clause of the company A as documents. In the Hydra case, worked conscien- ments: Sanctioned by art. 271 1 and 3 kk. 6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Other relations of people, In astermin do mastermin do and skk (using art. 212 kk) Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) 6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Other relations of people, Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk. 7 Information about Discovering 10 years, criminal people; whether scheme discheme discheme diffication. 0 owner of company sentenced in the last A waiting to re- 10 years, criminal peating peating execution connection; school of a scheme (after business etc. cycle). Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to company D got an increase of sentenced using art. 64 1 kk. 8 Additional factors for payment. That is why initially Company C trans- ferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 13. 1 - intent to commit crime.		authorized pay-			
find who forged the document he document he document he document b) who physically did invoices, money trans- fers c) who accepted work in chain of command he Hydra case, worked conscien- tial roles coming suspect's testi- worked conscien- ments: Sanctioned by art. 271 1 and 3 kk. 5 Mapping poten- in monies accused tiously? companies to a of crime related Did they work to- contribution to a to art. 286 1 kk general continues scheme. Making false state- ments: Sanctioned by art. 271 1 and 3 kk. 6 People, or their of people, or their or their of people, or their or their or people, or their or their of people, or their of people, or their of documents. Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk 6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Other relations of people: worke of company sentenced in the last A waiting to re- 10 years, criminal peating execution connection; school of a scheme (after business etc. corpleting the first corpleting the first corpleting the first is why initially Company C trans- ferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked.		ment the work			
the document b) who physically did invoices, money transfers money transfers c) who accepted work in chain of command money transfers money transfers 5 Mapping poten- In the Hydra case, Did accused people work in chain of command Making false state-tworked conscientions in mones accused tiously? 6 companies to a of crime related Did they work to contribution to a to art. 226 1 kk gether? (constituted financial crime Corrimplicated CEO of a crime group)? matter of negligence? and testimonies scheme. of people, or their Change of qualifirole as indicated by cation of CEOs of companies A and B from art. 226 1 kk to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 212 kk) 6 People not related to company beating execution geople; whether voice of company sentenced in the last A waiting to recompleting the first cycle). Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation go go go an increase of sentenced using art. 64 1 kk. 8 Additional factors An invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company C transferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be biocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime. Ore: an increase of sentenced crime. (counts as one).		find who forged			
b) who physically did invoices, money trans- fers b) who physically did invoices, money trans- fers b) diaccused people Making false states ments: Sanctioned by art. 271 1 and 3 5 Mapping poten- tial roles coming suspect's testi- worked conscien- from positions in companies to a of crime related Did they work to- contribution to a tort. 286 1 kk gether? (constituted financial crime. Cor- implicated CEO of a crime group)? relate potentially the company A as Can this be only a implicated people, a mastermind of a matter of negligence? and testimonies scheme. of people, or their Change of qualif- role as indicated by documents. Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) 6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Other relations of people. Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 290 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk. 7 Information about Discovering into getter, criminal people; whether business etc. completing the first cycle). Detailing legal qual- ification. Owner of company D got an 10 years, criminal peating execution connection; school of a scheme (after business etc. completing the first cycle). Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one). Art. 13. 1 - intent to commit crime. 8 Additional factors withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 13. 1 - intent to commit crime.		the document			
did invoices, money trans- fers c) who accepted work in chain of command bid accused people work in chain of command 5 Mapping poten- tial roles coming suspect's testi- worked conscien- from positions in monies companies to a of crime related financial crime. Cor- implicated DEO of a crime group)? relate potentially the company A as Can this be only a implicated people, a mastermind of a matter of negligence? and testimonies scheme. of people, or their Change of qualifi- role as indicated by cation of CEOs of documents. Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) 6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Other relations of people; whether to geople; whether a lub years, criminal people; whether size and people; whether business etc. Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk. 7 Information about Discovering in- people; whether business etc. Discovering in- voice of company sentenced in the last A waiting to re- of or payment. That is why initially Company C trans- ferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one). Art. 13. 1 - intent to commit crime.		b) who physically			
money transfers money transfers c) who accepted work in chain of command Did accused people 5 Mapping potential roles coming suspect's testiworked consciential roles coming suspect's testiworked conscientions in monies accused tiously? Making false statements: Sanctioned by art. 271 1 and 3 kk. 5 Mapping potentially the company A as Can this be only a implicated people, a matternind of a and testimonies scheme. Gan this be only a matter of negligence? and testimonies scheme. of people, or their Change of qualifirole as indicated by cation of CEOs of documents. Companies but before art. 286 1 kk to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) 6 People not related to companies but being a part of crime. Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk. 7 Information about Discovering inpeople; whether voice of company sentenced in the last A waiting to repople. Detailing legal qualification. Owner of company D got an increase of sentenced in the last A waiting to repople. 8 Additional factors An invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company D transfort payment. That withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one). 8 Additional factors a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 13. 1 - intent to commit crime.		did invoices,			
e ters c) who accepted work in chain of command In the Hydra case, Did accused people worked consciential roles coming suspect's testiworked conscientions in monies accused tously? Making false state-ments: Stanctioned by art. 271 1 and 3 kk. 5 Mapping potential roles coming suspect's testiworked conscientions in monies accused tously? Did they work took accused people, art. 271 1 and 3 kk. 6 People, or their Change of qualifirol as indicated by catt. 286 1 kk to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) Sanctioned by art. 6 People not related to companies but being a part of crime. Other relations of people. Sanctioned by art. 7 Information about Discovering inpeople; whether voice of company sentenced in the last A waiting to relow people. Sanctioned by art. 8 Additional factors An invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one).		money trans-			
c) who accepted work in chain of command In the Hydra case, bid accused people work do conscien- ital roles coming suspect's testi- worked conscien- ments: Sanctioned by art. 271 1 and 3 kk. 5 Mapping poten- tial roles coming suspect's testi- worked conscien- ments: Sanctioned by art. 271 1 and 3 kk. 6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Other relations of people; whether voice of company sentenced in the last A waiting to re- people; whether voice of company sentenced in the last A waiting to re- 10 years, criminal peating execution connetion; school of a scheme (after business etc. complet the last A waiting to re- for payse set. complet the last A waiting to re- for payment. That is why initially Company D trans- for payment. That is why initially Company D trans- for payment. That is why initially company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one). Art. 13. 1 - intent to commit crime.		fers			
work in chain of command Mapping poten- tial roles coming suspect's testi- worked conscien- tial roles coming suspect's testi- tiously? Making false state- ments: Sanctioned by art. 271 1 and 3 kk. 5 Mapping poten- tial roles coming suspect's testi- companies to a of crime related financial crime. Cor- implicated CEO of a to art. 286 1 kk to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk. 6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Other relations of people, whether 10 years, criminal people; whether 10 years, criminal for payment. That is why initially Company C trans- ferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one). Art. 13. 1 - intent		c) who accepted			
of command of command 5 Mapping poten- tial roles coming suspect's testi- worked conscien- from positions in monies accused tiously? Making false state- ments: Sanctioned by art. 271 1 and 3 6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Can this be only a matter of negligence? 6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 286 1 kk to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) 6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk. 7 Information about poople; whether voice of company sentenced in the last to years, criminal poople; Did accused people and testimonies scheme. Detailing legal qual- ification. Owner of company D got an 10 years, criminal poople; whether voice of company sentenced in the last to years, criminal poople; whether business etc. An invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company C trans- ferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one).		work in chain			
5 Mapping poten- tial roles coming suspect's testi- suspect's testi- suspect's testi- tiously? Did accused people worked conscien- tiously? Making false state- ments: Sanctioned by art. 271 1 and 3 kk. 6 People not related by documents. a mastermind of a and testimonies A and B from art. 286 1 kk to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 219 1 and 2 sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk. 6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Other relations of people. Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk. 7 Information about people. Discovering peating execution connection; school of a scheme (after business etc. Discovering peating for payment. That is why initially Company C trans- fered only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one).		of command			
 and provide a state of the fight a case. Did actised people interfield in the fight a case. Did actised people interfield interfield in the fight actised people interfield interfield in the fight actised people interfield interfield in the fight actised people interfield interfield in the fight actised people interfield interfi	5	Mapping poton	In the Hydro cose	Did accused people	Making falso stato
from positions in monies accused tiously? art. 271 1 and 3 companies to a of crime related Did they work to- kk. contribution to a to art. 286 1 kk financial crime. Cor- implicated Deople, a crime group)? accused tiously? relate potentially the company A as implicated people, a mastermind of a and testimonies scheme. Can this be only a matter of negligence? of people, or their Change of qualifi- role as indicated by documents. cation of CEOs of companies A and B from art. 286 1 kk to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) Sanctioned by art. 6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk. 7 Information about Discovering in- people; whether voice of company sentenced in the last A waiting to re- 10 years, criminal peating execution connection; school of a scheme (after completing the first cycle). Detailing legal qual- ification. Owner of company D got an increase of sentenced using art. 64 1 kk. 8 Additional factors An invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company C trans- ferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one).	5	tial roles coming	suspect's testi-	worked conscien-	ments: Sanctioned by
comparises to a of crime related contribution to a to art. 286 1 kk gether? (constituted a crime group)? Did they work to kk. financial crime. Correlated poole, a mastermind of a and testimonies scheme. art. 286 1 kk gether? (constituted a crime group)? of people, or their Change of qualifirole as indicated by cation of CEOs of companies A and B from art. 286 1 kk to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) Sanctioned by art. 6 People not related to companies but being a part of crime. Other relations of people, Sanctioned by art. 7 Information about Discovering inpeople; whether voice of company sentenced in the last A waiting to related to competing the first cycle). Sanctioned by art. 8 Additional factors An invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company C transferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one).		from positions in	monies accused	tiously?	art. 271 1 and 3
contribution to a financial crime. Correlate potentially implicated people, is materially a material of a implicated people, a mastermind of a and testimonies scheme. arc rime group)? of people, or their role as indicated by documents. and testimonies scheme. Can this be only a matter of negligence? of people, or their role as indicated by documents. Change of qualifiron of CEOs of companies A and B from art. 286 1 kk to art. 286 1 kk to art. 286 1 kk to art. 212 kk) Sanctioned by art. 6 People not related to companies but being a part of crime. Other relations of people. Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk. 7 Information about Discovering inpeople; whether structure in the last A waiting to relation to are company beating execution connection; school business etc. Detailing legal qualification. Owner of company D got an increase of sentenced using art. 64 1 kk. 8 Additional factors An invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company C transferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 13. 1 - intent to commit crime.		companies to a	of crime related	Did they work to-	kk.
financial crime. Correlate potentially implicated people, and testimonies of people, or their role as indicated by documents.implicated CEO of a mastermind of a scheme. Change of qualifi- cation of CEOs of companies A and B from art. 286 1 kk to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk)Can this be only a matter of negligence?6People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Other relations of people;Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk.7Information about people; whether business etc.Discovering or a scheme (after business etc.Detailing legal qual- ification. Owner of a scheme (after business etc.8Additional factors for payment. That is why initially Company D company D testing whether a withdrawal will be bucked.Art. 12. Repeated crime.		contribution to a	to art. 286 1 kk	gether? (constituted	
relate potentially the company A as implicated people, a mastermind of a and testimonies scheme. of people, or their role as indicated by documents. 6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. 7 Information about people; whether people; whether sentenced in the last N additional factors 8 Additional factors 8 Additional factors 7 Information about people to the last N Additional factors 8 Additional factors 7 Information about people; whether sertenced in the last N INFORMENT ADDITIONAL STATES 8 Additional factors 8 Att. 12 Apple 8 Additional factors 8 Att. 13 At intent 8 Att. 13 At in		financial crime. Cor-	implicated CEO of	a crime group)?	
implicated people, a mastermind of a and testimonies scheme. matter of negligence? of people, or their Change of qualifirole as indicated by cation of CEOs of companies A and B from art. 286 1 kk to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) Sanctioned by art. 6 People not related to companies but being a part of crime. Other relations of people. Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk. 7 Information about people. Detailing legal qualification. Owner of company by sentenced in the last A waiting to related to connection; school of a scheme (after completing the first cycle). Detailing legal qualification. Owner of company D got an increase of sentenced in the last A minoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company C transferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one). 8 Additional factors An invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company C transferred only half of money to Company Art. 13. 1 - intent to commit crime.		relate potentially	the company A as	Can this be only a	
and testimonies scheme. Of people, or their of people, or their role as indicated by documents. Change of qualification of CEOs of companies A and B from art. 286 1 kk to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) 6 People not related to companies but being a part of crime. Other relations of people. Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk. 7 Information about Discovering inpeople. Detailing legal qualification. Owner of company by sentenced in the last A waiting to reion connection; school of a scheme (after business etc. completing the first cycle). Detailing legal qualification. Owner of company D got an increase of sentenced using art. 64 1 kk. 8 Additional factors An invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company C transferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 13. 1 - intent to commit crime.		implicated people,	a mastermind of a	matter of negligence?	
of people, or their Change of qualification of CEOs of cation of CEOs of companies A and B from art. 286 1 kk to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk)6People not related to companies but being a part of crime. Other relations of people.Sanctioned by art.7Information about Discovering inpeople, whether sentenced in the last A waiting to repeople, whether sentenced in the last A waiting to repeople.Detailing legal qualification. Owner of company D got an increase of sentenced in the last A waiting to repeople.8Additional factorsAn invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company C transform oncy to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked.Art. 12. Repeated crime. Is 1 intent to commit crime.		and testimonies	scheme.		
role as indicated by cation of CEOs of companies A and B from art. 286 1 kk to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) 6 People not related to companies but being a part of crime. Other relations of people. Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk. 7 Information about people. Discovering inpeople. 7 Information about people. Detailing legal qualification. Owner of company sentenced in the last A waiting to recompany to for a scheme (after completing the first cycle). Detailing legal qualification. Owner of sentenced using art. 64 1 kk. 8 Additional factors An invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company C transferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 13. 1 - intent to commit crime.		of people, or their	Change of qualifi-		
documents. companies A and B from art. 286 1 kk to art. 296 1 and 2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) 6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Other relations of people; Sanctioned by art. 7 Information about people; Siscovering whether Ist kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk. 7 Information about people; Discovering whether Detailing legal qual- ification. 0 years, criminal peating execution connection; school business etc. of a scheme (after cycle). Detailing legal qual- ification. 8 Additional factors An invoice waiting for payment. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one). 8 Additional factors An invoice waiting for payment. Art. 13. 1 - intent to commit crime. 8 Additional factors An invoice waiting for payment. Art. 13. 1 - intent to commit crime.		role as indicated by	cation of CEOs of		
6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Other relations of people. Sanctioned by art. 7 Information about people; whether ing a part of crime. Other relations of people; whether ing a part of crime. There is a people is whether is sentenced in the last is why initially comparing the first cycle). Sanctioned by art. is why initially company 8 Additional factors is why initially company to Company business etc. Discovering in- completing the first cycle). Detailing legal qual- ification. Owner of company D got an increase of sentenced using art. 64 1 kk. 8 Additional factors is why initially company to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one). Art. 13. 1 - intent to commit crime.		documents.	companies A and B		
60 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Other relations of people. Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk. 7 Information about people; whether sentenced in the last to competing the last sentenced in the last business etc. Detailing legal qual- ification. Owner of company D got an increase of sentenced using art. 64 1 kk. 8 Additional factors An invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one).			from art. 286 I kk		
2, and 3 kk (using art. 212 kk) 6 People not related to companies but being a part of crime. Other relations of people. Sanctioned by art. 7 Information about Discovering inpeople; whether voice of company sentenced in the last A waiting to realto relation; school business etc. Detailing legal qualification. Owner of company D got an increase of sentenced using art. 64 1 kk. 8 Additional factors An invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company C transferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 13. 1 - intent to commit crime.			to art. 296 1 and		
6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Other relations of people. Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk. 7 Information about people; whether sentenced in the last A waiting to re- lowears, criminal connection; school business etc. Detailing legal qual- ification. Owner of company D got an increase of sentenced using art. 64 1 kk. 8 Additional factors An invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company C trans- ferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one). Art. 13. 1 - intent to commit crime.			2, and 5 kk (using art 212 kk)		
6 People not related to companies but be- ing a part of crime. Other relations of people. Sanctioned by art. 18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk. 7 Information about people; whether sentenced in the last 10 years, criminal connection; school business etc. Discovering in- voice of company secution of a scheme (after cycle). Detailing legal qual- ification. Owner of company D got an increase of sentenced using art. 64 1 kk. 8 Additional factors An invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company C trans- ferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one).			and are any		
companies but being a part of crime. Other relations of people.18 1 kk in relation to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk.7Information about people; whether sentenced in the last 10 years, criminal people; school of a scheme (after connection; school of a scheme (after cycle).Detailing legal qual- ification. Owner of company D got an increase of sentenced using art. 64 1 kk.8Additional factorsAn invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company C trans- ferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked.Art. 13. 1 - intent to commit crime.	6	People not related to			Sanctioned by art.
ing a part of crime. Other relations of people.to art. 299 1 and 5 kk using art. 12 kk.7Information about people; whether sentenced in the last 10 years, criminal connection; school business etc.Discovering in- company people; whether to ce of company a waiting to re- competing the first cycle).Detailing legal qual- ification. Owner of company D got an increase of sentenced using art. 64 1 kk.8Additional factorsAn invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked.Art. 12. Repeated crime.		companies but be-			18 1 kk in relation
Other relations of people.5 kk using art. 12 kk.7Information about people; whether sentenced in the last 10 years, criminal connection; school business etc.Discovering in- voice of company peating execution of a scheme (after cycle).Detailing legal qual- ification. Owner of company D got an increase of sentenced using art. 64 1 kk.8Additional factors for payment. That is why initially Company C trans- ferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked.Art. 13. 1 - intent to commit crime.		ing a part of crime.			to art. 299 1 and
people.kk.7Information about Discovering in- people; whether voice of company sentenced in the last A connection; school business etc.Discovering in- voice of company peating execution of a scheme (after cycle).Detailing legal qual- ification. Owner of company D got an increase of sentenced using art. 64 1 kk.8Additional factors for payment. That is why initially Company C trans- ferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked.Art. 13. 1 - intent		Other relations of			5 kk using art. 12
7 Information about people; whether sentenced in the last 10 years, criminal connection; school of a scheme (after business etc. Discovering invoice of company A waiting to repeating execution peating execution connection; school of a scheme (after cycle). Detailing legal qualification. Owner of company D got an increase of sentenced using art. 64 1 kk. 8 Additional factors An invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company C transferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one).		people.			kk.
people; sentenced in the last 10 years, criminal connection; school business etc.voice of company A waiting to re- peating execution of a scheme (after cycle).ification. Owner of company D got an increase of sentenced using art. 64 1 kk.8Additional factorsAn invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company C trans- ferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked.Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one).	7	Information about	Discovering in-		Detailing legal qual-
sentenced in the last 10 years, criminal connection; school business etc. A waiting to re- peating execution of a scheme (after cycle). company D got an increase of sentenced using art. 64 1 kk. 8 Additional factors An invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company C trans- ferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one).		people; whether	voice of company		ification. Owner of
10 years, criminal peating execution connection; increase of sentenced using art. 64 1 kk. business etc. completing the first cycle). an invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company C trans-ferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 13. 1 - intent		sentenced in the last	A waiting to re-		company D got an
connection; school of a scheme (after completing the first cycle). using art. 64 1 kk. 8 Additional factors An invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company C trans-ferred only half of money to Company Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one). Art. 13. 1 - intent ferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 13. 1		10 years, criminal	peating execution		increase of sentenced
Basiliness etc. completing the first cycle). 8 Additional factors An invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company C transferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one).		connection; school	or a scheme (after		using art. 64 1 kk.
8 Additional factors An invoice waiting for payment. That is why initially Company C transferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked. Art. 12. Repeated crime (counts as one). Art. 13. 1 - intent to commit crime.		business etc.	completing the first		
for payment. That is why initially Company C trans- ferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked.	8	Additional factors	An invoice waiting		Art 12 Reported
is why initially commit crime (counts as one). Company C trans- ferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked.	0	Automai factors	for payment That		crime (counts as
Company C trans- Art. 13. 1 - intent ferred only half of to commit crime. money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked.			is why initially		one)
ferred only half of money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked.			Company C trans-		Art. 13. 1 - intent
money to Company D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked.			ferred only half of		to commit crime.
D testing whether a withdrawal will be blocked.			money to Company		
withdrawal will be blocked.			D testing whether a		
blocked.			withdrawal will be		
			blocked.		

4 Money Transfer Flow

In this Section we show details of modeling and data querying for layers 1-3. The source of data is twofold: structured documents, eg. Excel or Calc spreadsheets containing flows data and additional, manually entered data about persons and companies. That data is stored in relational database and is further converted to Conceptual Graphs relations.[13, 14]. We use elements of graph theory to effectively process and query details of financial data. Our goal is to find suspicious behavior, described by patterns (discussed later). There are three basic parts in our system: a knowledge base, a set of rules and a set of patterns. The knowledge base contains facts about companies: their cash flow, invoice flow, work or goods flow and related physical persons. Facts are represented by entity nodes connected with relation nodes. Fig. 2 contains visualization of a fragment of knowledge base. Formally, we can describe Fig. 2 with a formula:

KB = MoneyTransfer(CompanyA, CompanyB, 01.02.2007, "Invoice no 18/07", 500000) Nodes can be typed such as Company, Transfer, Person and other. This



Fig. 2. A fragment of KB

kind of data description is an extension of conceptual graphs [13] that preserves its soundness and decidability. We use simple query and ontological constructs (subsumption and negation) that are needed for our data analysis and computing crime qualifications. Knowledge base can contain thousands of facts about transactions and for our analysis the crucial thing is the transaction flow. Such flows can be described by rules of form as in Fig. 3. The main part of a rule is a pattern, which can contain variables. Pattern can be complemented with additional variable constraints (date d1 is earlier than d2). Rule in Fig. 3 states that if a company transfers money to another company, and that company transfers later the same amount of money to a third company, then the middle company acts as a broker and in fact the first company transfers money to the third company (minus a provision). This pattern can be easily extended to include more intermediary companies, as shown in Fig. 4. Application of such rules to the KB produces a web of transfers and shows the real starting and ending points of a money flow. Presented transitive rules can be set to include only transfers above minimum value or within a period of time. For the sake of clarity we used simple less and equal operators to compare dates and values, but in fact they can be far more complex. We developed sophisticated algorithms that can aggregate small



Fig. 3. Transfer chain rule

transfers (technique often used to disguise real money flow), not discussed here. One of patterns that exploits such a transitive use of broker companies is money laundering where additional physical persons and unregistered money transfer are involved. Such a pattern is shown in Fig. 5: Transfer from one person to another can also be more complex and involve intermediary persons.

After applying specified rules to the KB, the crime detection phase takes place. In this phase we try to find homomorphism between data collected in KB and defined crime patterns. Our computational machinery searches for crime symptoms described by a pattern (like VAT fraud or money laundering). Data sets that are closest to match the pattern are presented to a user. At this level the user can judge probability of crime and provide additional data, such as bank transfers and invoices from other companies that look suspicious, or data from expert analysis (e.g. that work or service was fictitious). System works in cycles: data input, analysis, propositions of directions of further investigation. After gathering enough information additional functionality is triggered, that is a crime qualification for humans involved. The qualifications are proposed based on roles persons play in a discovered crime schema.



 ${\bf Fig. 4.}$ Transitive transfer chain rule



Fig. 5. Crime flow pattern

5 Conclusions

In this paper we have presented a computational background and an use case of our tool that is still being developed. Although it is not fully completed yet, we have obtained first promising practical results. The success is due to several reasons:

- 1. Guidance of legal experts as to legal procedures and crime schemes [12].
- 2. Existence of an invariant in the scheme (the cycle of money transfers).

3. The minimal model description.

The first feature bootstraps relevant questions. The second one introduces clarity to a description, at a price of some decrease of power of expression. The problem with complete ontologies is that a formulation relevant questions becomes very difficult [2, 3]. Take an invoice, for example. Company A issues an invoice, and company B receives an invoice. These operations are described as 2 RDF triples. We assume that both activities are coupled, so "invoices" becomes a predicate, A invoices B. This is the only concept related to invoice. If invoice is lost or destroyed and it is important for understanding a scheme our model cannot use such information. We are working on implementation of the rest of analysis levels and a few more financial crime schemas. One of the most desired schema that we are developing and that our tool aims to help with, is the VAT fraud schema. Our practice shows that the current implementation can handle up to with 50 thousand of VAT invoices on a standard PC machine. Currently we are working on optimization of querying algorithms, which we applied.

Acknowledgments. Our research is supported by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education, Polish Technological Security Platform grant 0014/R/2/T00/06/02. We thank drs. J. Cybulka and Jacek Martinek; and Mr. Z. Wieckowski and Mr T. Dela for guiding information and discussions.

References

- Badia A., M. Kantardzic M. M.: Link Analysis Tools for Intelligence and Counterterrorism. ISI 2005, pp. 49-59
- Cybulka J., Martinek J.: The core ontology of criminal processes and investigation procedures. Submitted for publication, 2008.
- Cybulka J., Jdrzejek C., Martinek J., Police Investigation Management System Based on the Workflow Technology, Chapter in: Legal Knowledge and Information Systems, Frontiers Artificial Intelligence and Applications, vol. 189, IOS Press, Amsterdam, Berlin, Oxford, Tokyo, Washington DC, 2008, pp. 150-159
- 4. Enron data set. available at http://www-2.cs.cmu.edu/ Enron
- 5. FATF (The Financial Action Task Force) Report: Trade Based Money Laundering, 23 July 2006; http://www.fatf-gafi.org/
- FATF (The Financial Action Task Force) Report: Complex Money Laundering Techniques: A Regional View, 23 February 2007; http://www.fatf-gafi.org/
- FATF (The Financial Action Task Force) Report: Laundering the Proceeds of VAT Carousel Fraud, 23 February 2007; http://www.fatf-gafi.org/
- Jedrzejek C., Martinek J.: On the modelling of money laundering techniques as courses of events. Proceedings of 3rd Language & Technology Conference, October 5-7, 2007, Pozna, Poland, pp. 544-548.
- Mena, J. (2003) Investigative Data Mining for Security and Criminal Detection, Butterworth Heinemann, 2003.
- 10. The Penal Code (in Polish). Ustawa z dnia 6 czerwca 1997 r. Kodeks karny.
- 11. Unger B., Busuioc, E. M.: The Scale and Impacts of Money Laundering, Publisher:Edward Elgar, May 2007

- 12. Wieckowski J.: Regional Prosecutors Bureau in Katowice, Poland, private communication, February-May 2008.
- 13. Chein M., Mugnier M., Graph-based Knowledge Representation Computational Foundations of Conceptual Graphs, Springer London 2008
- 14. Allemang D., Hendler J.: Semantic Web for the Working Ontologist: Effective Modeling in RDFS and OWL, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers 2008